

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,798	03/22/2004	Justin K. Brask	ITL.0894D1US (P15193) 1055	
7	590 05/31/2005		EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop			MENZ, DOUGLAS M	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY FWY			2891	
HOUSTON, T	X 77024-1841		DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/805,798	BRASK ET AL.	$\mathfrak{M}$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Douglas M. Menz	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restion. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty my period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this com  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on					
·_ ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		•	merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-46 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-46 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> i	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objectio	-,,	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been to Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s)	_					
)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	•			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/805,798

Art Unit: 2891

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernhardt et al. (US 53895964).

Regarding claim 36,Bernhardt discloses a semiconductor structure comprising:
a substrate (11) containing a germanium region (Figs. 4-6 and Col. 2, lines: 3338), a metal contact (36); and a germanide layer (23 and 31) located between the
germanium region and the metal contact (Figs. 4-6 and Col. 4, line 28 – Col. 5, line 19).

Regarding claim 37, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer contacts the metal contact and the germanium region (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 38, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a nickel germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Art Unit: 2891

Regarding claim 39, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a silicon germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 40, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the metal contact (32) is associated with one of a source and a drain of a transistor (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 41, Bernhardt discloses an apparatus comprising:

a transistor drain region (36), a transistor source region (37), a first metal contact (32A); a second metal contact (32B), a first germanide layer (23A and 31A) located between a germanium region of a semiconductor structure and the first metal contact; and a second germanide layer (23B and 31B) located between the germanium region and the second metal contact (32B, Figs. 4-6 and Col. 4, line 28 – Col. 5, line 19 and Col. 2, lines: 33-38).

Regarding claim 42, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer contacts the metal contact and the germanium region (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 43, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a nickel germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 44, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a silicon germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernhardt et al. (US 53895964) in view of Chau et al. (US 6777759).

Regarding claims 45 and 46, Bernhardt discloses the apparatus of claim 41, however, Bernhardt does not disclose further comprising: a silicide region comprising a nickel silicide; and a transistor gate region in contact with the silicide region. Chau discloses a transistor structure (Figs. 1A-E) which has a silicide region (110) comprising

Page 5

a nickel silicide; and a transistor gate region (107) in contact with the silicide region (Figs. 1A-E and Col. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Chau's silicide regions into Bernhardt's structure for the purpose of reducing the electrode's resistance as taught by Chau (Col. 1).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Bernhardt reference discloses a gallium arsenide substrate and therefore, does not disclose a substrate containing a germanium region. This is incorrect, Bernhardt discloses that substrate 11 is gallium arsenide or other III-V compound semiconductor substrate (Col. 2, lines: 35-40). Therefore, the limitation "a substrate containing a germanium region" is anticipated by the Bernhardt reference.

Applicant further argues that the present application discusses at length a sonic technique to etch a metal, such as nickel, in a semiconductor structure that includes a germanide film and a germanium containing substrate without etching the germanide films and any germanium regions on the substrate and that the Bernhardt reference fails to teach such a technique. This is correct, however, applicant only claims a semiconductor structure for which the limitations have been met by the Bernhardt reference (see above rejection).

Applicant further argues that Bernhardt fails to teach a technique for etching a nickel germanide layer. Again, Applicant only claims a semiconductor structure. Thus Art Unit: 2891

regarding the claim 38 limitation of "wherein the germanide layer comprises a nickel germanide layer", Bernhardt anticipates this limitation (Col. 5, lines: 8-14).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M. Menz whose telephone number is 571-272-1877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,798

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DM

Christian Wilson Primary Examiner AU 2891

Page 7